

Summary of Chapter 3:

(1) Cantor Set: Construction, length, cardinality, dimension.

(2) ε -neighborhood (abbr. ε -nbhd):

$$V_\varepsilon(a) = \{x : |x-a| < \varepsilon\}$$

(3) open set: $\forall a \in O, \exists \varepsilon\text{-nbhd } V_\varepsilon(a) \subseteq O$

Thm: The union of arbitrarily many open sets are open.

The intersection of finitely many open sets is open.

(4) Limit Point: $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in A, a \neq x, a \in V_\varepsilon(x)$

Equivalently: $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ infinitely many $a \in A, a \in V_\varepsilon(x)$

Equivalently: $\exists (a_n)$ contained in $A, x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$.

Isolated Point: $x \in A$ not a limit point

Equivalently: $\exists \varepsilon > 0, V_\varepsilon(x) \cap A = \{x\}$.

(5) Closed Set: x is a limit point of $F \Rightarrow x \in F$

Thm: $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow \forall (a_n)$ Cauchy seq. in $F, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \in F$

Closure of a subset: $\bar{A} = A \cup \{\text{limit points of } A\}$

Thm: \bar{A} = smallest closed set containing A .

(6) Complement: $A^c = \{x \notin A\}$

Thm: O open $\Leftrightarrow O^c$ closed

F closed $\Leftrightarrow F^c$ open.

Thm: The intersection of arbitrarily many closed sets is closed.

The union of finitely many closed sets is closed.

(7) Compact Set: $\forall (a_n)$ in K , $\exists (a_{n_k})$ subsequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} \in K$.

Bounded Set: $\exists M > 0$, $\forall a \in A$, $|a| \leq M$.

Thm: K is compact \Leftrightarrow K is closed and bounded

Thm: Nested Compact Set Property:

$K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ nested sequence of compact sets.
 $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$

(8) Open cover: $\{O_\lambda \text{ open: } \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ satisfying $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} O_\lambda \supseteq A$

Finite subcover: $\{O_{\lambda_1}, O_{\lambda_2}, \dots, O_{\lambda_k}: \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \Lambda\}$, $\bigcup_{i=1}^k O_{\lambda_i} \supseteq A$.

Heine-Borel Thm: TFAE

(i) K is compact.

(ii) K is closed and bounded.

(iii) Every open cover for K has a finite subcover.

(iv) The contrapositive of (iii). (Formulate yourself).

(9) Perfect Set: closed set w/o isolated points

Thm: P nonempty, perfect $\Rightarrow P$ is uncountable.

(10) Separated Sets: $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$

Disconnected Set: $E = A \cup B$, A, B nonempty, separated.

Connected Set: not disconnected.

Thm: E is connected $\Leftrightarrow \forall A, B \neq \emptyset$ s.t. $E = A \cup B$

$\exists (x_n)$ convergent sequence in A (or B)
 $(x_n) \rightarrow x$, $x \in B$ (or A)

Thm: $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is connected $\Leftrightarrow \forall a, b \in E$, s.t. $a < b$, $[a, b] \subseteq E$.

(11) F_σ -set: countable union of closed sets

G_δ -set: countable intersection of open sets.

Dense Set: $\forall a < b, \exists x \in G, a < x < b$.

Equivalently: $\forall O$ open set, $G \cap O \neq \emptyset$.

Equivalently: $\overline{G} = \mathbb{R}$.

Thm: $\{G_n \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ dense open : } n=1,2,\dots\}$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n} \neq \emptyset.$$

Nowhere-Dense Set: \overline{E} contains no nonempty open intervals.

Baire's Theorem: \mathbb{R} cannot be written as a countable union
of nowhere-dense sets

HW to be graded: 3.2.4. 3.2.6.* 3.2.13. 3.3.3.* 3.3.5.

grade will be
given for free.

My sol'n to 3.2.13

Suppose $A \neq \emptyset$ is both open and closed. We prove that $A = \mathbb{R}$.

$$A \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists a \in A$$

$$A \text{ open} \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon) \subseteq A.$$

$$A \text{ closed} \Rightarrow a + \varepsilon \in A.$$

We are going to exploit the above argument.

$$\text{Set } x = \sup \{ b : (a - \varepsilon, b) \subseteq A \}$$

If x is finite, then $\forall n > 0, \exists b_n > x - \frac{1}{n}, (a - \varepsilon, b_n) \subseteq A$.

A is closed $\Rightarrow b_n \in A$

$$x - \frac{1}{n} < b_n \leq x \Rightarrow (b_n) \rightarrow x$$

A is closed, each $b_n \in A \Rightarrow x \in A$.

$$A \text{ is open} \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon' > 0, (x - \varepsilon', x + \varepsilon') \subseteq A$$

$$\Rightarrow (a - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon') \subseteq A$$

Therefore, we proved that if $x = \sup \{ b : (a - \varepsilon, b) \subseteq A \}$ is finite
then $x + \varepsilon'$ makes $(a - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon') \subseteq A$, contradicting the choice
of x .

So $x = +\infty$. i.e. $(a - \varepsilon, +\infty) \subseteq A$.

Similarly, if $y = \inf \{ c : (c, +\infty) \subseteq A \}$ then $y = -\infty$.

Therefore $\mathbb{R} \subseteq A$.

Remark: You'll see a similar argument when you study ODEs and flows
in any proof-based class containing this topic.

Another solution:

Let $\phi \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a proper subset that is both open and closed.

Then $\phi \neq A^c \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is also a both open and closed set.

Then $\mathbb{R} = A \cup A^c$.

Now A is closed $\Rightarrow \overline{A} = A \Rightarrow \overline{A} \cap A^c = A \cap A^c = \phi$

A^c is closed $\Rightarrow \overline{A^c} = A^c \Rightarrow A \cap \overline{A^c} = A \cap A^c = \phi$.

Therefore A, A^c form a separation of $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ disconnected.

But \mathbb{R} is connected by Theorem 3.4.7 (applied to $E = \mathbb{R}$).

Contradiction. So if A is a proper subset, A cannot be both open & closed

\Rightarrow The only both open and closed subset of \mathbb{R}

one \mathbb{R}, ϕ .

Remark: This is a standard topological proof.

My solution to 3.2.6.

(a) $(-\infty, \sqrt{2}) \cup (\sqrt{2}, +\infty)$ is open and contains \mathbb{Q} .

(b) Consider $[1, \infty) \supseteq [2, \infty) \supseteq \dots \supseteq [n, \infty) \supseteq \dots$

The intersection of these closed sets is empty.

(c) True by density thm: $A \neq \phi \Rightarrow \exists a \in A$

A open $\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon) \subseteq A$.

Density theorem $\Rightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \in (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon) \Rightarrow r \in A$

(d) Consider $\{\sqrt{2}\} \cup \{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{n}\}$. The limit point of the set is $\sqrt{2}$,

since any subsequence in the set converges to $\sqrt{2}$. So the set is closed.

Easy to see it's bounded and contains no rational numbers.

(e) (not graded). Cantor set is closed, since each C_n is closed and the intersection of arbitrary closed sets is closed.

My solution to 3.3.3. $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed and bounded $\Rightarrow K$ is compact.

Pf: Take an arbitrary sequence (a_n) in K .

K is bounded $\Rightarrow (a_n)$ is bounded

Bolzano-Weierstrass Thm $\Rightarrow \exists (a_{n_k})$ subsequence of (a_n) , $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $a_{n_k} \rightarrow a$

In particular, a is a limit point of K .

So by K being closed, $a \in K$.

Therefore we proved that

\forall seq. in (a_n) contains a subseq. (a_{n_k}) that converges to a limit in K .

My solution to 3.2.10c. Why there cannot exist a set with an uncountable number of isolated points?

(1) Note that \mathbb{R} can be expressed as a countable union of closed intervals

$$\text{i.e. } \mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n, \quad I_n = [n, n+1]$$

(2) If A is such a set, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $I_n \cap A$ is also such a set.

Otherwise, $I_n \cap A$ has at most countably many isolated points, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 \Rightarrow Isolated points in $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n \cap A$ are at most countable
 b/c a countable union of countable sets is countable.

So WLOG, we can discuss the problem within an interval I_n .

(3) Lemma: If $\{a_i : i \in I\}$ is an uncountable set of nonnegative numbers with $\sum_{i \in I} a_i < \infty$, then there is at most countable many a_i 's are nonzero, i.e. $a_i = 0$ for all but countably many $i \in I$.

Pf: Let $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = M < \infty$. Consider the set $S_n = \{i \in I : a_i > \frac{1}{n}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\text{Then } M \geq \sum_{i \in S_n} a_i > \frac{1}{n} \cdot \#S_n \Rightarrow \#S_n \leq nM$$

$\Rightarrow S_n$ is a finite set.

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is at most countable.

$$\text{Note that } \{i \in I : a_i > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$$

($i \in \text{LHS} \Leftrightarrow a_i > 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists n, a_i > \frac{1}{n}$ (Archimedean Property))

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists n, i \in S_n \Rightarrow i \in \text{RHS.}$$

(4) $I_n \cap A$ cannot have uncountably many isolated points. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $X = \{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of isolated points in $I_n \cap A$.

WLOG, $n \notin X$, $n+1 \notin X$.

Then $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \exists \varepsilon'_\lambda > 0, (x_\lambda - \varepsilon'_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon'_\lambda) \cap X = \{x_\lambda\}$.

By shrinking ε'_λ one can confine $(x_\lambda - \varepsilon'_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon'_\lambda)$ in I_n .

(This is always possible if $x_\lambda \neq n, x_\lambda \neq n+1$)

Moreover, $\forall \mu \in \Lambda, x_\mu \neq x_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow x_\mu \notin (x_\lambda - \varepsilon'_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon'_\lambda), x_\lambda \notin (x_\mu - \varepsilon'_\mu, x_\mu + \varepsilon'_\mu)$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_\mu - x_\lambda| > \varepsilon'_\lambda, |x_\mu - x_\lambda| > \varepsilon'_\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_\mu - x_\lambda| > \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon'_\lambda + \varepsilon'_\mu)$$

So if we pick $\varepsilon_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'_\lambda$, then all $(x_\lambda - \varepsilon_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon_\lambda)$'s are disjoint.

Therefore the length of $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (x_\lambda - \varepsilon_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon_\lambda)$ is $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 2\varepsilon_\lambda$.

Since $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, (x_\lambda - \varepsilon_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon_\lambda) \subseteq I_n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (x_\lambda - \varepsilon_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon_\lambda) \subseteq I_n$

So the length of $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (x_\lambda - \varepsilon_\lambda, x_\lambda + \varepsilon_\lambda)$ should be no larger than 1.

By lemma in (3), there are at most countably many λ s.t. $\varepsilon_\lambda > 0$
 \Rightarrow at most countably many isolated points.